### **Design and Code Communication & Code Reviews**







#### /\*\*

- \* Get the {@linkplain GuessGame game} for the current user.
- \* The user is identified by a {@linkplain Session browser session}.
- \* **Oparam** session
- \* The HTTP {@link Session}, must not be null
- \* @return
- An existing or new {@link GuessGame}
- \* @throws NullPointerException
- \* when the session parameter is null
- \*/
- public GuessGame get(final Session session)



#### SWEN-261 Introduction to Software Engineering

Department of Software Engineering Rochester Institute of Technology

## Your communication about a project is not just in the form of presentations and meetings.

- The systems that you will develop are complex and have both static and dynamic design characteristics.
- To describe those characteristics, you will use several UML models.
  - Domain, class and sequence
- Those who must use your implementation need a more productive description that studying lines of code.
- Those who must maintain your implementation must be able to quickly understand the code.

## The domain model describes the product owner's understanding of the application's scope.

- Domain model
  - Describes the context in which the application will operate.
  - Helps developers share the product owner's understanding of this context.
  - Describes the product owner's world view of the domain entities and relationships between them.
- The domain model will help developers create a structure for the implementation to the extent that is possible.

### Design documentation can be a valuable communication tool.

A design document is a way for you to communicate to others what your design decisions are and why your decisions are good decisions.

From How to Write an Effective Design Document by Scott Hackett

- Design documentation should be <u>short</u> and <u>easy to read</u>.
- It should communicate <u>key</u> architecture and design <u>decisions</u>.
- It should generally move from <u>high-level to low-level</u>.
- It should provide justification for design decisions.

## The class model defines the static structure of your implementation.

- It captures many constructs embodied in your implementation
  - Class attributes and methods with visibilities
  - Relationships between classes with multiplicities
  - Navigation between classes
  - Structure via inheritance/interface
  - Architectural tiers
- The domain model inspires the first-cut for the implementation class structure.
  - Try to have the software structure match the product owner's domain structure, i.e. domain entities become implementation classes

### You also must describe the application's dynamic characteristics to fully describe its operation.

- An application's execution of a feature/operation involves multiple classes across architectural tiers.
  - The sequence diagram indicates which classes and methods are involved in an execution scenario.
  - Formulate a user story solution with one or more sequence diagrams created before starting the implementation (not required for Sprint 2).

### Keeping your design documentation up-to-date will now be part of your standard workflow.



## How your code "reads" is critically important for the humans who will read it.

- Code is read by humans as much as by machines.
- Code must be readable and understandable by all team members.
- Clear code communication includes:
  - A shared code style
  - Use of good, meaningful names
  - Component APIs are clearly documented
  - Algorithms are clarified using in-line comments
  - Indication of incomplete or broken code

Any fool can write code that a computer can understand. Good programmers write code that humans can understand.

*Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code* Martin Fowler, et. al (1999)

#### A shared code style is good etiquette.

- No code style is inherently better than any other one.
- A code style includes:
  - Spaces vs tabs
  - Where to put curly-braces
  - Naming conventions
    - CamelCase for class names
    - UPPER\_CASE for constants
    - IowerCamelCase for attribute and method names
  - And so on
- Every team should choose a style and stick to it.
  - IDEs provide support for defining a code style
  - If your team cannot choose one then we recommend using Google Java style (see resources)

#### Make names reflect what they mean and do.

- Dos:
  - Use names that reflect the purpose
  - Use class names from analysis and domain model
  - Use method names that are verbs in your analysis
  - Use method names that describe what it does not how it does it
- Don'ts:
  - Don't abbreviate; spell it out
    - pricePerUnit is better than pPU or worse just p
  - Don't use the same local variable for two purposes; create a new variable with an appropriate name
  - Don't use "not" in a name
    - isValid is better than isNotValid.

#### **Document your component's API.**

- In Java the /\*\* ... \*/ syntax is used to denote a documentation for the thing it precedes.
- For example:

```
/**
 * Represents a Hero entity
 *
 * @author SWEN Faculty
 */
public class Hero
```

- At a minimum you should document all public members.
  - Also, good to document all methods including private methods
  - Document attributes with complex data structures

#### A method's javadoc must explain how to use the operation.

- Every method must have an opening statement that expresses what it does.
  - Keep this statement concise
  - Additional statements can be added for clarification
- Document the method signature
  - Use @return to describe what is returned
  - Use @param to describe each parameter
  - Use @throws to describe every exception explicitly thrown by the method
- Link to other classes
  - Use @link to link to classes (monospaced formatting)
  - Use @linkplain in opening statement (standard formatting)

#### **Example method javadocs.**



#### Use in-line comments to communicate algorithms and intention.

Use in-line comments to describe an algorithm

• **Dos:** 

- Use pseudo-code steps
- Explain complex data structures
- Don'ts:
  - Don't repeat the code in English
     count++; // increment the count
- Use comments to express issues and intentions
  - A TODO comment hints at a future feature
  - A FIX (or FIXME) comment points to a known bug that is low priority

### A code review can improve the quality of the product and the quality of the team.

- Increase product quality
  - Identify and fix design or coding violations
  - Identify and fix code communication issues
  - Analyze test coverage, identify new test scenarios
- Increase overall team skill
  - Discuss code communication
  - Share coding and testing techniques
  - Discuss design principles & patterns, as appropriate

#### There are several situations that warrant a code review.

- For new members of the team
  - Along with reading the Design documentation
  - Code review (walk-through) with a senior developer
- For Spikes
  - To impart lessons from the Spike to the rest of the team
- For User Stories
  - To improve the quality of the feature code
  - To share best practices with the rest of the team
  - Even trivial stories should have reviews

### There are several code review techniques.

- Individual
  - A senior developer sits with a junior developer
  - The review can be focused on a specific problem or for general understanding a subsystem
- Synchronous
  - A team meets to review some code
  - Usually the most formal process
  - Disadvantage of needing to sync schedules
- Asynchronous
  - A developer uses an online tool to create a review
  - Shows the diffs between two branches
  - Reviewers make comments in the tool
- Hybrid approaches

## A team will often have a checklist of things to look for during the code review.

- Coding practices
  - Code communication
  - Defensive programming practices
- Design practices
  - Adherence to architectural tiers
  - Adherence to core OO principles
  - Adherence to OO design principles
- Testing practices
  - Are test suites comprehensive (enough)
  - Test code follows good code and design practices
- Design documentation
  - Is the documentation being kept up-to-date

### The activity will guide the team through doing an asynchronous review.

- You will create a git *pull request* for a selected feature branch.
- Team members will review the code using GitHub's PR review user interface.
  - We'll provide a checklist and document to record your suggested changes
  - Team submits the document to a Dropbox
- After the changes are approved, the feature branch is merged into master

# Issuing pull requests and performing code reviews will now be a part of your development workflow.

	Definition of Done Checklist	Delete
0 %		
	acceptance criteria are defined	
	solution tasks are specified	
	feature branch created	
	unit tests written	
	solution is code complete, i.e. passes full suite of unit tests	
	design documentation updated	_
	pull request created	
	user story passes all acceptance criteria	
	code review performed	]
	feature branch merged into master	J
	feature branch deleted	

- The Pull Request is made when the story moves to Ready for Test, i.e. after the user story is code complete, and the design documentation is updated.
- Review should be done by a minimum of two team members other than the developer of the story.
- Acceptance testing can be performed in parallel.